London Primary 1. 10 and 11 year-olds.

| Speaker | Line | Transcript | Time | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Clip 1. Immediately following RL2 and post RL2 students interview. Math focus: - decimals, fractions. Additional PCK focus: use of manipulatives/apparatus and of exploratory talk to make thinking visible. |  |  |  |  |
| A | 1-5 | What impressed me is how the use of the manipulatives can make visible the children's misconceptions because what I had taken for granted was that from the previous lesson (RL1) they would have understood everything - or most of - what we had showed them. But actually they were still making mistakes. |  |  |
| B | 6 | They were. Well it makes visible their thinking doesn't it. |  |  |
| A | 7 | Yes. |  |  |
| B | 8-10 | Normally we just to kind of guess what's going on in their heads but because they're having to show the manipulative suddenly you can see ah okay that one didn't get it. It kind of makes it visible. |  |  |
| A | 11-12 | And it also helps them to talk! Seeing on this and working out this.. The 'self-talk' really worked. |  |  |
| B | 13 | Yeh |  |  |
| C | 14-17 | Because it was interesting when they were feeding back to us how they'd use 'partner talk' but I suppose every now and then hen the partner drifts off to do something else, or they've already got it, they were reverting to having a little conversation with themselves.. um |  |  |
| B | 18 | Riaz, certainly, was doing that.. |  |  |
| C | 19-22 | ..which again, so it's quite nice that there's been a little bit of a knock-on from the very first lesson study that they've been remembering... You sort of highlighted that as a sort of tool for deepening understanding, for exploring... figuring it out basically. So that has been good. |  |  |
| Clip 2. Post RL3 |  |  |  |  |
| A | 23-28 | But Riaz and his talk partner Tasnim . I don't think it's a case of them now knowing... not being able to make the links. It was the fact that there was a different unit - a different whole. They were a little confused. He for.. initially looked at the beginning of the tape measure, looked at the end and said 'Hundred' and I thought 'He's going to make that connection and he will be able to divide it into tens'. So he immediately went to his white board and he wrote the multiples of ten up to a hundred. |  |  |
| B | 29 | Oh. I see! |  |  |
| A | 3-41 | And I thought..you know .. I could see where he was going. But somehow or another, above the ' 10 ' he wrote one thousand and above the 100 he put one hundred. When I questioned him he appeared a little confused and I said to him: <br> 'What is this that you are holding?' [holding up hands if holding a metre rule]. To both of them. <br> 'Oh it's a metre measure' <br> 'What do you know about it? <br> 'Oh. Well it's in centimeters.' (This was Tasnim initially). And it clicked straight away. He was able to make connections. He thought about what he had done with the base ten blocks - (he actualy told me) - and he says <br> 'Oh yeah. Here's a ten'. And put it straight to the ten centimeter. Ten centimeters. And he said 'That would be a ten.' |  | Blue type not on video |
| B | 42 | Ah. Okay. |  |  |
| A | 43-49 | And I said 'What else do you know from there. He looked at his table hard and he said 'No. That's wrong!' And he pointed to the centimeter. Actually he used two fingers. I said 'So why do you say that's a hundred?' He said 'Because a hundred of them .. make the whole thing'. And Tasnim was able to see the thousand from there. And they were able to cut up the tape-measure. And from then there was no stopping them because he had immediately made that connection. But he had first to reflect on what he had done with the base ten blocks. |  |  |




